BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
1399 E. High Street, P. O. Box 546
Bryan, OH 43506
(419) 636-6939 Fax (419) 636-3417
www.bryanmunicipalcourt.com

	Case Number	Section	Description
State of Ohio, (SHF)	CRB2400102	2903.13A	ASSAULT

vs.

Shepherd, Jeremy

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT

You are hereby notified that the above case has been assigned for:

PROBATION VIOLATION HEARING AND HEARING REGARDING ALL MOTIONS FILED BY DEFENDANT

12/09/2025 at 01:00 PM.

Previous assignment taken out by the .

Dec 1, 2025

Trisha M Russell
Clerk of Court

Kimberly G. Houk
Chief Deputy Clerk

cc:
Prosecutor

Shepherd, Jeremy - Emailed

Judgment Entry

Date _____. _____

_____

_____

Time Charged To: _____ Defendant _____ State

_____ cc: Defendant

_____
Kent L. North, Judge

BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT

1399 E. High Street, P. O. Box 546
Bryan, OH 43506
(419) 636-6939 Fax (419) 636-3417
www.bryanmunicipalcourt.com

	Case Number	Section	Description
State of Ohio, (SHF)	CRB2400102	2903.13A	ASSAULT

vs.

Shepherd, Jeremy

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT

You are hereby notified that the above case has been assigned for:

PROBATION VIOLATION HEARING AND HEARING REGARDING ALL MOTIONS FILED BY DEFENDANT

12/09/2025 at 01:00 PM.

Previous assignment taken out by the .

Dec 1, 2025

Trisha M Russell
Clerk of Court

Kimberly G. Houk
Chief Deputy Clerk

cc:
Prosecutor

Shepherd, Jeremy - Emailed

Judgment Entry

Date _____. _____

_____

_____

Time Charged To: _____ Defendant _____ State

_____ cc: Defendant

_____
Kent L. North, Judge

IN THE BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
WILLIAMS COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO
Plaintiff

CASE NO: CRB 2400102

V.

JUDGMENT ENTRY

JEREMY SHEPHERD

Defendant

It is hereby ordered all motions filed and pending in the above listed case shall be set for oral hearing within 14 days from the date of this entry.

Judge

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
2025 NOV 26 AM 10: 02
TRISHA M. RUSSELL
CLERK

Kim Houk

From:	Bryan Municipal Court
Sent:	Monday, December 1, 2025 2:26 PM
To:	'Jeremy Shepherd'
Subject:	Emailing: Jeremy Shepherd - Dec 9 hearing notice and judgment entry
Attachments:	Jeremy Shepherd - Dec 9 hearing notice and judgment entry.pdf

Mr. Shepherd,
Enclosed is your notice of probation violation hearing and hearing regarding all motions you have filed. You are scheduled to appear in court on December 9, 2025 at 1:00 p.m. Also enclosed is the Judgment Entry received for filing November 26, 2025.

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Jeremy Shepherd - Dec 9 hearing notice and judgment entry

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
2025 DEC -1 PM 3:49
TRISHA M. RUSSELL
CLERK

Bryan Municipal Court

From: Microsoft Outlook
To: Jeremy Shepherd
Sent: Monday, December 1, 2025 3:18 PM
Subject: Relayed: Emailing: Jeremy Shepherd - Dec 9 hearing notice and judgment entry

Delivery to these recipients or groups is complete, but no delivery notification was sent by the destination server:

Jeremy Shepherd (Jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com)

Subject: Emailing: Jeremy Shepherd - Dec 9 hearing notice and judgment entry

Emailing: Jeremy Shepherd - De...

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
2025 DEC -1 PM 3:49
TRISHA M. RUSSELL
CLERK

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
Dec 2, 2025 - 08:52:46 AM

IN THE BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
IN AND FOR WILLIAMS COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO

v.

JEREMY LEE SHEPHERD
Case No. CRB2400102
Judge: Hon. Ken L. North

RESPONSE TO PROBATION VIOLATION REPORT AND MOTION TO DISMISS

Defendant Jeremy Lee Shepherd respectfully moves this Court to dismiss the November 25, 2025 probation violation report on the following grounds:

I. RETALIATION AFTER ASSERTING FEDERAL RIGHTS

Nov. 14: ADA accommodation filed

Nov. 21: Motion to Vacate filed

Nov. 24 (1:49 PM): Probation officer threatens violation

Nov. 24 (2:43 PM): Defendant responds asserting ADA rights

Nov. 25: Violation report filed

This timeline shows retaliation — prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12203 — for asserting federal disability rights and engaging in protected speech.

II. DISABILITY & CIRCUMSTANCES PREVENT COMPLIANCE WITHOUT ACCOMMODATION

Disability: Bipolar disorder, SSDI recipient, severe anxiety triggered by legal proceedings

Homelessness: Lost housing and job due to this case

Safety Threats: Harassed in public and courthouse parking lot — cannot safely appear in person

III. DEFENDANT HAS BEEN COOPERATIVE

Defendant explained his condition and requested reasonable accommodations. He expressed respect, offered good faith solutions, and showed intent to comply — but cannot do so under current terms.

IV. REAL-TIME MEETINGS ARE NOT FEASIBLE

Due to:

Disability-related cognitive and anxiety limitations

Risk of further retaliation

Unstable access to technology or private space (homeless)

Written communication and email check-ins are reasonable and effective accommodations under 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7).

V. INAPPROPRIATE ACTIONS BY PROBATION OFFICER

Threatened a report instead of providing support or alternatives

Recommended therapy (without qualification)

Filed a violation the day after ADA rights were asserted

This violates the ADA's requirement to accommodate, not punish disabled persons.

Any further punitive action taken in response to my protected filings will be treated as retaliation under 42 U.S.C. § 12203.

VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

Defendant respectfully asks the Court to:

1. Dismiss the probation violation report as retaliatory

2. Order accommodations, including:

Written/email check-ins

No in-person appearance

3. Stay probation enforcement pending ruling on ADA and Motion to Vacate

4. Find substantial compliance given Defendant's documented efforts and barriers

VII. SEALED REPORT VIOLATES DUE PROCESS AND REQUIRES DISMISSAL

The November 25 probation violation report has been marked as "sealed" and has not been provided to the Defendant. This makes it impossible for the Defendant to know what statements or accusations are being used against him, denying him the ability to defend himself, clarify facts, or correct any misunderstandings.

This violates basic due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and Article I, Section 16 of the Ohio Constitution. Probation violation proceedings require advance notice, full access to the alleged grounds, and an opportunity to respond — none of which are possible when the report is hidden.

The Defendant cannot respond to something he is not allowed to see. The only sealed materials permitted by law are those protected by statute or specific court order. No such order has been entered, and there is no legal justification for keeping the Defendant in the dark while threatening him with incarceration or sanctions.

If the Court cannot disclose the content of the alleged violation, then the violation must be dismissed.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This is not defiance — it's disability.
This is not avoidance — it's federal law.
The Court should dismiss this violation and grant the accommodation required by law.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Pro Se | Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com
Dated: December 1st, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true and accurate copy was served via email to:
City Prosecutor James Duranczyk – pnickolite@cityofbryan.com
Probation Officer Kelly Tietje – ktietje@cityofbryan.com
Date: December 1st, 2025
/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
Dec 2, 2025 - 08:54:16 AM

IN THE BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
IN AND FOR WILLIAMS COUNTY, OHIO

State of Ohio,
Plaintiff,

v.

Jeremy Lee Shepherd,
Defendant.

Case No.: CRB2400102
Judge: Hon. Ken L. North

SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION TO DISMISS PROBATION VIOLATION REPORT

AND DUE PROCESS OBJECTION TO SEALED FILING

COMES NOW Defendant, Jeremy Lee Shepherd, Pro Se, and respectfully submits this brief supplement to clarify a critical procedural issue that has come to light since the original motion was filed.

On the Court's docket, the entry dated November 25, 2025, for the "Initial Violation Report Filed by Probation" is clearly marked with a sealed icon (●), consistent with the Court's own docket legend. As of this filing, the Defendant has not been provided with a copy of that report and has no knowledge of the specific allegations or statements it contains.

IX. SEALED REPORT BLOCKS DUE PROCESS

The sealed nature of the Violation Report prevents the Defendant from:

Reviewing the grounds for the alleged violation;

Confronting the basis for potential punishment;

Asserting a meaningful defense or clarification.

This violates the Defendant's rights under:

The Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution;

Article I, Section 16 of the Ohio Constitution;

Ohio Criminal Rule 32.3(A) (requiring notice and hearing before revocation);

Gagnon v. Scarpelli, 411 U.S. 778 (1973) (due process requires disclosure of alleged violation and opportunity to respond).

The Court cannot act upon or schedule hearings based on secret evidence not disclosed to the accused. If redactions are necessary for third-party privacy, the State must seek a protective order. No such motion exists in this case.

X. SELECTIVE SEALING REVEALS RETALIATORY PATTERN

In contrast, the Defendant's ADA-related motion—containing sensitive and federally protected medical information—was posted publicly on the Court's docket without redaction or sealing.

This inconsistent handling of sensitive materials appears retaliatory. The Court cannot publicly disclose the Defendant's private health data while simultaneously shielding a government accusation from review. This imbalance violates both due process and the ADA's anti-retaliation provision (42 U.S.C. § 12203).

XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Defendant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court:

1. Dismiss the probation violation report filed under seal on November 25, 2025, for failure to provide due process;

2. Alternatively, order the immediate disclosure of the sealed Violation Report to the Defendant;

3. Bar any enforcement or sanction based on the sealed report unless and until it is unsealed and served;

4. Stay all further probation proceedings related to the violation report pending disclosure and hearing;

5. Grant such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Jeremy Lee Shepherd, Pro Se
Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com
Dated: December 1, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Supplement was served via email this 1st day of December, 2025, upon the following:

City Prosecutor James Duranczyk
Email: pnickolite@cityofbryan.com

Probation Officer Kelly Tietje
Email: ktietje@cityofbryan.com

/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Jeremy Lee Shepherd

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
Dec 2, 2025 - 08:54:55 AM

Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com
Date: December 2, 2025

Clerk of Court
Bryan Municipal Court
1399 East High Street
Bryan, OH 43506

RE: Case No. CRB2400102
State of Ohio v. Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Filing of Objection to Sealed Probation Violation Report and Due Process Violation and Related Motions

To the Clerk of Court:

Please find enclosed the following filings for submission in the above-captioned matter:

1. Objection to Sealed Probation Violation Report and Due Process Violation

2. Supplemental Objection to Sealed Probation Violation Report and Due Process Violation

3. Cover Letter to Prosecutor and Probation Officer (copy for file)

These filings are submitted in support of Defendant's prior response to the Probation Violation Report filed under seal on November 25, 2025. Each filing is dated and signed, and a Certificate of Service is included.

I respectfully request that these materials be filed on the docket and brought to the attention of the assigned Judge. Please advise if any corrections or additions are necessary.

Respectfully,
/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Jeremy Lee Shepherd, Pro Se
Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
Dec 2, 2025 - 08:55:52 AM

Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com
Date: December 2, 2025

Via Email
James Duranczyk
Prosecutor
Bryan Municipal Court
Email: pnickolite@cityofbryan.com

Via Email
Kelly Tietje
Probation
Bryan Municipal Court
Email: ktietje@cityofbryan.com

RE: Filing of Supplemental Objection – Case No. CRB2400102
State of Ohio v. Jeremy Lee Shepherd

Dear Mr. Duranczyk:

Please be advised that I have today filed a supplemental motion in the above-referenced matter titled:

>”Objection to Sealed Probation Violation Report and Due Process Violation”

> “Supplemental Objection to Sealed Probation Violation Report and Due Process Violation”

> “Cover Letter to the Clerk of Court”

This filing addresses the docket entry dated November 25, 2025, indicating the Violation Report was filed under seal, without service to me. The motion outlines procedural and constitutional objections under the Fourteenth Amendment and applicable Ohio criminal procedure.

A copy of this motion is attached along with the supplemental file, herewith for your records. This letter and the motions are provided in accordance with the Certificate of Service and to ensure proper notice of all filings.

Sincerely,
/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Pro Se
Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
Dec 2, 2025 - 04:31:59 PM

Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com
Date: December 2, 2025

Clerk of Court
Bryan Municipal Court
1399 East High Street
Bryan, OH 43506

RE: Case No. CRB2400102
State of Ohio v. Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Notice of Intent to Initiate Federal Action for Civil Rights Violations and Court Misconduct

To the Clerk of Court:

Please find enclosed the following filing for submission in the above-captioned matter:

1. Notice of Intent to Initiate Federal Action for Civil Rights Violations and Misconduct

2. Certificate of Service

This filing is submitted in support of Defendant's prior pleadings, ADA accommodation requests, and objections to the court's ongoing handling of this case, including but not limited to:
• Failure to rule on pending ADA motions within the stated deadline of December 2, 2025
• Improper scheduling of hearings in direct conflict with unresolved ADA matters
• Post hoc modifications of docket entries to simulate timely judicial procedure
• Continued psychological and material harm due to systemic due process violations

This Notice serves as formal warning to the Court and administrative record that, absent corrective action or compliance with constitutional and statutory mandates, Defendant intends to initiate a federal civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Americans with Disabilities Act.

I respectfully request that this Notice be docketed immediately and brought to the attention of the assigned Judge. Please advise if any corrections or additions are necessary.

Respectfully,
/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Jeremy Lee Shepherd, Pro Se
Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
Dec 2, 2025 - 04:32:30 PM

IN THE BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
WILLIAMS COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	CASE NO. CRB2400102
	:	
v.	:	JUDGE KENT L. NORTH
	:	
JEREMY LEE SHEPHERD	:	

NOTICE OF INTENT TO INITIATE FEDERAL ACTION FOR CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

TO THE COURT:
NOTICE TO THE COURT:

Pursuant to the timeline and formal request for ruling submitted in the most recent Supplemental Motion for Reasonable Accommodation under the ADA, I set a clear deadline of December 2, 2025, for the Court to issue a written ruling in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

As of the time of this filing, the Court has failed to rule, and has instead:

Set hearings without ruling on the ADA motion — placing me under threat of contempt or failure to appear despite active medical disability;

Ignored the requirement for interactive process and accommodation under federal law;

Permitted ongoing retaliation, public harm, and civil disability by allowing the case to remain pending without lawful basis, and without procedural closure;

Engaged in post hoc modifications of the docket to simulate timely procedure, violating both transparency and due process.

These actions have resulted in severe and escalating harm, including:

Loss of employment, housing, and income;

Destruction of family relationships;

Ongoing emotional and psychological strain resulting from failure to accommodate diagnosed bipolar disorder and PTSD;

Irreparable damage to personal reputation, dignity, and ability to function in society.

FORMAL WARNING:

If the Court fails to immediately rule on the pending ADA motion and halt all related actions until accommodations are addressed, I will consider all local remedies exhausted and will proceed with filing a federal civil rights complaint in the U.S. District Court for:

Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (Title II)

Violation of Civil Rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983

Retaliation for protected activity

Malicious prosecution and abuse of process

Negligent and/or intentional infliction of emotional distress

This record shall serve as final notice that the Court has been made fully aware of its obligations and the consequences of continued inaction. All evidence of misconduct, fraud, docket manipulation, and denial of access to justice is already compiled and will be submitted with the federal complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com
[Address Withheld – Homeless]
Date: December 2, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Notice was served via email upon:

James F. Duranczyk

City Prosecutor
c/o pnickolite@cityofbryan.com

Date: December 2, 2025

/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd

FILED
BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
Dec 2, 2025 - 08:52:46 AM

IN THE BRYAN MUNICIPAL COURT
IN AND FOR WILLIAMS COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO

v.

JEREMY LEE SHEPHERD
Case No. CRB2400102
Judge: Hon. Ken L. North

RESPONSE TO PROBATION VIOLATION REPORT AND MOTION TO DISMISS

Defendant Jeremy Lee Shepherd respectfully moves this Court to dismiss the November 25, 2025 probation violation report on the following grounds:

I. RETALIATION AFTER ASSERTING FEDERAL RIGHTS

Nov. 14: ADA accommodation filed

Nov. 21: Motion to Vacate filed

Nov. 24 (1:49 PM): Probation officer threatens violation

Nov. 24 (2:43 PM): Defendant responds asserting ADA rights

Nov. 25: Violation report filed

This timeline shows retaliation — prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12203 — for asserting federal disability rights and engaging in protected speech.

II. DISABILITY & CIRCUMSTANCES PREVENT COMPLIANCE WITHOUT ACCOMMODATION

Disability: Bipolar disorder, SSDI recipient, severe anxiety triggered by legal proceedings

Homelessness: Lost housing and job due to this case

Safety Threats: Harassed in public and courthouse parking lot — cannot safely appear in person

III. DEFENDANT HAS BEEN COOPERATIVE

Defendant explained his condition and requested reasonable accommodations. He expressed respect, offered good faith solutions, and showed intent to comply — but cannot do so under current terms.

IV. REAL-TIME MEETINGS ARE NOT FEASIBLE

Due to:

Disability-related cognitive and anxiety limitations

Risk of further retaliation

Unstable access to technology or private space (homeless)

Written communication and email check-ins are reasonable and effective accommodations under 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7).

V. INAPPROPRIATE ACTIONS BY PROBATION OFFICER

Threatened a report instead of providing support or alternatives

Recommended therapy (without qualification)

Filed a violation the day after ADA rights were asserted

This violates the ADA's requirement to accommodate, not punish disabled persons.

Any further punitive action taken in response to my protected filings will be treated as retaliation under 42 U.S.C. § 12203.

VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

Defendant respectfully asks the Court to:

1. Dismiss the probation violation report as retaliatory

2. Order accommodations, including:

Written/email check-ins

No in-person appearance

3. Stay probation enforcement pending ruling on ADA and Motion to Vacate

4. Find substantial compliance given Defendant's documented efforts and barriers

VII. SEALED REPORT VIOLATES DUE PROCESS AND REQUIRES DISMISSAL

The November 25 probation violation report has been marked as "sealed" and has not been provided to the Defendant. This makes it impossible for the Defendant to know what statements or accusations are being used against him, denying him the ability to defend himself, clarify facts, or correct any misunderstandings.

This violates basic due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and Article I, Section 16 of the Ohio Constitution. Probation violation proceedings require advance notice, full access to the alleged grounds, and an opportunity to respond — none of which are possible when the report is hidden.

The Defendant cannot respond to something he is not allowed to see. The only sealed materials permitted by law are those protected by statute or specific court order. No such order has been entered, and there is no legal justification for keeping the Defendant in the dark while threatening him with incarceration or sanctions.

If the Court cannot disclose the content of the alleged violation, then the violation must be dismissed.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This is not defiance — it's disability.
This is not avoidance — it's federal law.
The Court should dismiss this violation and grant the accommodation required by law.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd
Pro Se | Email: jeremyshepherd07@outlook.com
Dated: December 1st, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true and accurate copy was served via email to:
City Prosecutor James Duranczyk – pnickolite@cityofbryan.com
Probation Officer Kelly Tietje – ktietje@cityofbryan.com
Date: December 1st, 2025
/s/ Jeremy Lee Shepherd